



RECOMMENDATION FOR PROMOTION

APPLICANT: _____ **DEPARTMENT:** _____

DIVISION: _____

Applied For: Assistant Professor Associate Professor Professor

SECTION I: DIVISION PROMOTION AND TENURE COMMITTEE

The committee has reviewed the portfolio of the applicant and has evaluated the applicant based on the *Performance Evaluation for Promotion Criteria (Form B)* in Columbia State Policy 05:12:00.

Summary Peer Promotion Evaluation (Note: each peer reviewer independently arrived at rating):

Evaluation Criteria	Maximum Points per Category	Peer Reviewer's Rating	Peer Reviewer's Rating	Peer Reviewer's Rating	Committee's Average Rating
A.1: Teaching Effectiveness	60				
A.2 Curriculum and Program Development	10				
*B.1: Service to Students	5-10				
*B.2: College, Profession, and Community Service	5-10				
C.1: Scholarship/Creative Activities/ Research	5				
D: Collegiality/Contribution to Goals	10				
Total	100				

***B1 and B2 combined cannot exceed 15.**

Based upon the committee's review and the candidate's attainment of a score of 85 or above, the committee's average score is _____.

The committee recommends does not recommend this promotion.

Rationale:

SIGNATURE OF EACH COMMITTEE MEMBER:

Signature: _____ **Date:** _____

Signature: _____ **Date:** _____

Signature: _____ **Date:** _____



SECTION II: DIVISION DEAN RECOMMENDATION

The division dean has reviewed the portfolio of the applicant and has evaluated the applicant based on the *Performance Evaluation for Promotion Criteria (Form B)* in Columbia State Policy 05:12:00.

The division dean recommends does not recommend this promotion.

Rationale:

Signature: _____ **Date:** _____
Division Dean